MAJOR PROGRAM ASSESSMENT PLAN

Department: M.S. Criminal Justice Revised and Approved by CRJ Faculty on 10/7/2014

	Courses Resulting in	Activities Resulting in	Measures/Criteria/Rubrics of Student	
Student Learning	Achievement of	Achievement of	Achievement of	
Goals/Objectives	Goals/Objectives	Goals/Objectives	Goals/Objectives	Timetable
Students will demonstrate:	CRJ 504	Comprehensive exam	See attached rubric	Fall 2018
1. A basic knowledge of				
methods of gathering			90% of exam takers should average a score of	
evidence in criminal justice			3 or above to meet or exceed expectations	
and an understanding of				
what constitutes acceptable				
and appropriate evidence.		 Percentage of all 	90% or above on all possible points = exceeds	
		possible points in CRJ	expectations	
		504 (required class) for	80-89% on all possible points = meets	
		last 3 years	expectations	
			Below 80% on all possible points = does not	
			meet expectations	
			90% of students should meet or exceed	
			expectations	
2. Knowledge of the impacts	CRJ 603	Comprehensive exam	See attached rubric	Fall 2014
and outcomes of various	All CRJ elective classes			
criminal justice policies and			90% of exam takers should average a score of	
practices.			3 or above to meet or exceed expectations	
		 Percentage of all 	90% or above on all possible points = exceeds	
		possible points in CRJ	expectations	
		603 (required class) for	80-89% on all possible points = meets	
		last 3 years	expectations	
			Below 80% on all possible points = does not	
			meet expectations	
			90% of students should meet or exceed	
			expectations	

		• Percentage grade on term papers in one randomly selected elective criminal justice course	 90% or above on term paper grades = exceeds expectations 80-89% on term paper grades = meets expectations Below 80% on term paper grades = does not meet expectations 90% of students should meet or exceed expectations 	
3. The ability to articulate, apply and critically evaluate criminal justice theories.	CRJ 501 CRJ 602 CRJ 604 CRJ 620 CRJ 622 CRJ 625 CRJ 626 CRJ 628	 Comprehensive exam Percentage of all possible points in CRJ 501 (required class) for last 3 years 	Outstanding = exceeds expectations Satisfactory = meets expectations Unsatisfactory = does not meet expectations *need to develop rubric 90% of exam takers should average a score of 3 or above to meet or exceed expectations 90% or above on all possible points = exceeds expectations 80-89% on all possible points = meets expectations Below 80% on all possible points = does not meet expectations	Fall 2015
		• Percentage grade on term papers in CRJ 501 and one randomly selected elective criminal justice course: CRJ 602, 604, 620, 622, 625, 626, 628	90% of students should meet or exceed expectations 90% or above on term paper grades = exceeds expectations 80-89% on term paper grades = meets expectations Below 80% on term paper grades = does not meet expectations 90% of students should meet or exceed	

			expectations	
4. Competence in writing discipline-specific research- based papers incorporating supporting material.	All CRJ classes	Comprehensive exam	See attached rubric 90% of exam takers should average a score of 3 or above to meet or exceed expectations	Fall 2016
		 Percentage grade on term papers in two randomly selected elective criminal justice courses 	 90% or above on term paper grades = exceeds expectations 80-89% on term paper grades = meets expectations Below 80% on term paper grades = does not meet expectations 90% of students should meet or exceed 	
5. The ability to think	All CRJ classes	Comprehensive exam	expectation See attached rubric	Fall 2017
critically.			90% of exam takers should average a score of 3 or above to meet or exceed expectations	
		• Percentage grade on term papers in two randomly selected elective criminal justice courses	90% or above on term paper grades = exceeds expectations 80-89% on term paper grades = meets expectations Below 80% on term paper grades = does not meet expectations	
			90% of students should meet or exceed expectation	

<u>Mission</u>

The department provides a comprehensive education in criminal justice through an academically rigorous, multidisciplinary program of study. The curriculum, which is grounded in the social sciences, integrates theory, research and practice with a goal to prepare students for leadership positions in the criminal justice profession and/or for advanced graduate study. The department is committed to fostering an intellectual climate that encourages faculty research, thus enhancing the understanding of criminal justice issues. The department also provides the state and local criminal justice community with expertise across a wide range of specialties represented among the faculty.

Analysis of Results

The Assessment Committee will collect student learning outcome data each fall according to the timetable. In the spring, the Committee will interpret and analyze the results, provide recommendations for action to be taken based on its analysis, and report its findings and recommendations to the faculty for their review, consideration, and approval.

Dissemination of Results

The Committee will forward its report to the criminal justice faculty by the end of each academic year. A final version of the report will be submitted to the Chair for inclusion in the department's annual report, which is forwarded to the Dean and the Graduate School.

Incorporation into Planning Process

The Assessment Committee will examine the assessment results each year as well as at the end of the 5-year cycle with an eye to: (1) improve and enhance student learning and growth at program and course level, and (2) to evaluate the assessment process itself for its comprehensiveness and efficacy/ usefulness of the existing assessment results. The Committee will specifically examine gaps in the learning goals, modification/addition to learning objectives, curriculum revision, instructional delivery changes, changes in course sequencing, or increased emphasis on skill development. The Assessment Committee will work closely with the Curriculum Committee on recommendations and implementation of those recommendations.